

## **Finding Collaboration:** Getting Environmental and Agricultural Interests "On The Ground"

**Spokane Conservation District** 

## **Common Goals**



- Maintain Ag viability
- Protecting the natural resources
  - Water Quality
  - Instream Flows/Water Quantity
- Caring for the land
- Balance Competing Interests





9 Mile Dam

### **Runoff Events in Hangman**





## SCD Landowner Programs

- Every single one is <u>Voluntary</u>!
- Livestock Operations
- Streambanks
- Revegetation/Riparian
- Septics
- Forestry
- Agricultural (large and small tract)

### **County Wide Stewardship Practices**

Key Stewardship Practices			Historic Enrollment Data		
Management Type	Conservation Practices	NRCS Code	luly 2011-2016	Average Annual Implementatio n	Estimated Annual Disenrollment
ω					Bischionnent
gell	No Till (ac) (6%)	329	0	0	0.00
F	Mulch Till (ac)(6%)	560	19608	3268	196.08
Nutrients	Basic- Soil Tests(6%)	590	16124	2687.33	161.24
	Enhanced - Biosolids	590	together	together	together
	Precision - Soil Tests and Mapping	590	together	together	together
Buffers	Grass Buffer (ft)(6%)	386/393	0	0	0.00
	Riparian Herbaceous Cover (ft)(6%)	390	0	0	0.00
	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (ft)(3%)	580	450	75	2.25
	Riparian Forest (ft)(3%)	391	25200	4200	126.00
Livestock	Stream Crossing (#)(3%)	578	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Use Area (#)(3%)	561	22	3.67	0.11
	Fencing (ft)(3%)	382	30069	5011.5	150.35
	Nutrient Management (#)(3%)	590	9	1.5	0.05
	Pipeline (ft)(3%)	516	0	0	0.00
Forest	Forest Stand Improvement (ac)(3%)	666	816	136	4.08
	Tree and Shrub Establishment (ac) (3%)	612	344	57.3	1.72
	Tree and Shrub Pruning (ac)(3%)	660	759	126.5	3.80

## **Technical Assistance**



- BMPs/cost-share
- Funding
- Free site visits and consultations
- Workshops/trainings

- Volunteers
- Demonstration projects
- Publications and brochures
- Peer to Peer assistance

### **Stream Bank Stabilization**









### **Project Site 2000**

### **Project Site 2018**



### Project RM 17 – Valleyford (3,000'of bank)



### 16,000 tons in 2017 (approx 1,200 Dump Trucks)

## Tillage







### Conservation tillage vs: Conventional



### **Riparian Buffers**



• Woody Stem Program

- 1 mile installed in hangman 2017-2018

• Commodity Buffer Program

- 18 miles installed in Hangman 2017-2018



### Woody Stem Buffer



## **Commodity Buffer**



SCD research shows that buffers work better in a system than stand alone.

Our new program CAN do the following!

- Fairly compensate a producer annually for value of buffer area out of production.
- Protect a producer's bottom line.
- Make the buffer <u>an asset</u> instead of a liability.





## **Commodity Buffer Funding**



- The SCD Commodity Buffer Program does not currently fit within NRCS or Ecology Programs.
- Washington State Conservation Commission has agreed to assist in financial assistance for WA.
- We still need \$ for Idaho Commodity Buffer Program.
- 2017 = 139 ac.
  - 31 miles of buffer!
- 2018 = 211 ac
  - 50 miles of buffer!



### **RCPP Buffer Video**

### Livestock and Land BMP's







## Livestock and Land 2017

- 5750' of riparian fencing
- 4 off creek watering sites
- 4 heavy use areas
- 1 roof runoff structure
- 1 waste storage facility
- 1000' riparian planting

### **Program and Funding Mechanisms**

- VSP
- RCPP
- Ecology Grants
- WSCC funds
  - Explain each

## Regional Conservation

### Partnership Program: Big Objectives

- Approximately 150,000 acres of conservation
  - 120,100 acres of conservation tillage
  - 20,000 acres of precision ag.
  - 750 acres of riparian buffer/filter strips (commodity)
  - 400 acres of conservation easements (ag and forest)
  - 8,750 acres of forest stand improvements
- Reduction of 600,000 tons of soil erosion by 2021.
- Improve water and air quality, soil health, and habitat.
- Timeline- 2016 through 2021





## **2017 RCPP Projects**



### Washington

- Forest Thinning/Pruning
- Conservation Tillage
  - No-till incentive 19,990 acres
  - Reduced-till 6,560 acres
- Precision Nutrient Placement
  - 6,311 acres
- Stream Buffer Incentive
  - 139 acres or 31 miles
  - 33,000 Acres Treated

### Idaho

- Forest Management Plans
- Forest Thinning/Pruning
- Tree Planting
- Conservation Tillage
- Precision Nutrient Placement

### 8,800 Acres Treated

## **2018 RCPP Projects**



### Washington

- Forest Thinning/Pruning
- Conservation Tillage
  - No-till incentive 38,400 acres
  - Reduced-till 25,300 acres
- Precision Nutrient Placement
  - 10,456 acres
- Stream Buffer Incentive
  - 211 acres or 49 miles

### 74,367 Acres Treated

### Idaho

- Forest Management Plans
- Forest Thinning/Pruning
- Tree Planting
- Conservation Tillage
- Precision Nutrient Placement

### 5,400 Acres Treated

### **RCPP** Partners



- Partners from former watershed groups and many new ones (over 20 partners)
- Spokane and CDA Tribes
- Idaho Dept. of Lands
- Conservation CDs
- Lands Council
- Pacific Northwest Direct Seed Association
- Many others

### **Conservation Practices Database**

Key Stewardship Practices			Historic Enrollment Data		
Management Type	Conservation Practices	NRCS Code	July 2011-2016	Average Annual Implementatio n	Estimated Annual Disenrollment
age o	No Till (ac) (6%)	329	0	0	0.00
Ē	Mulch Till (ac)(6%)	560	19608	3268	196.08
Nutrients	Basic- Soil Tests(6%)	590	16124	2687.33	161.24
	Enhanced - Biosolids	590	together	together	together
	Precision - Soil Tests and Mapping	590	together	together	together
Buffers	Grass Buffer (ft)(6%)	386/393	0	0	0.00
	Riparian Herbaceous Cover (ft)(6%)	390	0	0	0.00
	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (ft)(3%)	580	450	75	2.25
	Riparian Forest (ft)(3%)	391	25200	4200	126.00
Livestock	Stream Crossing (#)(3%)	578	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Use Area (#)(3%)	561	22	3.67	0.11
	Fencing (ft)(3%)	382	30069	5011.5	150.35
	Nutrient Management (#)(3%)	590	9	1.5	0.05
	Pipeline (ft)(3%)	516	0	0	0.00
Forest	Forest Stand Improvement (ac)(3%)	666	816	136	4.08
	Tree and Shrub Establishment (ac) (3%)	612	344	57.3	1.72
	Tree and Shrub Pruning (ac)(3%)	660	759	126.5	3.80

## Monitoring



- Conservation Practice Database
- Edge of Field Monitoring
- GIS Mapping
- Aerial Photography
- Regulatory Backstops

## **RCPP Innovation Edge of Field Monitoring**





- Research on farms provides an important link between plot and watershed scale research.
- Also a way to actively engage farmers in discussions on local water quality issues.
- Some of the critical issues are effective monitoring and identifying the best approaches to monitoring from individual farms.

### **Conventional Till Field**

### **Direct Seed Field**

KN ACK

# GIS Comparative Mapping/







### Takeaways



- Flashy events are where work still needs to be done
- Multifaceted approach to slowing phosphorus and sediment contribution to Spokane River
- Monitoring to identify most cost effective implementation
- Additional 2-5 years of funding exists through RCPP, WSCC, and other sources
- Voluntary and enforcement strategies evolving
- SCD is leading voluntary efforts with Lands Council and others
- Stay Tuned...